

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 GEORGE UBERTI,

11 Plaintiff,

No. C 19-04025 WHA

12 v.

13 SONOMA COUNTY BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS, et al.,

**ORDER DENYING MOTION
TO ATTEND BY TELEPHONE**

14 Defendants.
15 _____/

16 The telephone in the courtroom is not set up for call-ins for hearings. What usually
17 happens is that the person on the phone cannot hear well enough and won't stop talking when
18 we try to interrupt.

19 Plaintiff was denied IFP. Plaintiff can afford to travel to and from Santa Rosa and the
20 courthouse for the case he himself put in motion against nineteen defendants. He can, at a
21 minimum, use public transport. The Court finds, based on the denial of IFP, that he does have
22 funds to travel by public transport or, at least the record is inadequate to show otherwise.

23 The motion to appear by telephone is **DENIED**.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
25

26 Dated: November 26, 2019.

27 
28 _____
WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE